

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,636	06/25/2003	Ronald N. Kessler	1474-AU-CIP-CONT	4038	
27542 7	7590 04/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SAND & SEBOLT			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
AEGIS TOWE	ER, SUITE 1100		· ·		
4940 MUNSO	N STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANTON, OF	H 44718-3615		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/1/2			
	10/603,636	KESSLER, RONALD	N			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Alexander Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication			ss			
Period for Reply	•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		–				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
TT) The bath of declaration is objected to by the	C Examinor. Note the attache	d omoo your or rom 1 10				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	52)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:		JE]			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,636

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blum ('876). The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a floor mat with a removably and re-attachably strip connected to the mat; see column 4, lines 40-55 and Figure 2. However it does not disclose strips in addition to the display strip independently attached to the mat. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a plurality of display sections on the mat of the reference in order to convey a plurality of messages. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the sizes of the display sections so that one section is bigger than two other display sections in order to provide a desired decorative effect or so that one message is conveyed easier than the other messages.

Double Patenting

3. Claims 21-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,331. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims include all of the limitations of the instant

)

Application/Control Number: 10/603,636

Art Unit: 1772

Ú

claims except for claim 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the size of the display section in relationship to the other strips in order to provide a desired decorative effect or so that the message is conveyed easier.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER